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( 7:18)

Scripture Reading:   John 19 : 31 - 42

( 9:37)

Tonight’s topic is going to be Challenge Jurisdiction

Anybody who wants to follow along you can find a copy at our website

nationallibertyalliance.org Click on “Due Process” come to the bottom of the page and click on the access button It’s the second set of papers down Show Cause to Jurisdiction

Instructions and Forms to Challenge Jurisdiction

(10:33)

The paper begins as follows:

This is a verified show cause

Denial of Jurisdiction

We have the Show Cause of Jurisdiction which is the paper that we’re going to be reading tonight

Verified Show Cause

Denial of Jurisdiction

You have to fill out the paperwork accordingly

It starts this way

I (put in your name) one of the People of (your state) competent to defend myself in a court of law herein after the Petitioner by special appearance for the purpose of testing the sufficiency of the jurisdiction of the above said court. Petitioner hereby opens a court of record to move the above said court to a court of record for cause and dismissal for lack of personam jurisdiction in violation of petitioner’s right of due process in a court not of record…

The paper ends as follows

Wherefore the Petitioner denies this court personam jurisdiction and moves this court to cease and desist with prejudice from all actions against the petitioner and restore the petitioner to their original state for lack of Constitutional authority and personam jurisdiction

Failure of the officers of this court to comply with the Law of the Land and their oaths to the law will result in further actions in federal courts charging 18 USC subsection 241 Conspiracy Against Rights 18 USC Subsection 242 Deprivation of Rights and restitution as required by common law

This is a sworn statement and it has to be notarized

(21:50)

If this paper fails you have to tell them that they’re biased

That judge needs to recuse himself because they’re biased

You want to tell the judge that this needs to be moved into a court of record

Whatever they don’t respond to then you file a paper responding back to that other paper

making the point that they have defaulted because they have acquiesced

This paper should be moving the court for dismissal

they defaulted they acquiesced

If all of this fails then there are two options that people have

They can move it into federal court for cause

We have a form for that moving to federal court for cause

Or the second option you can get information on how to petition the grand jury at [intake@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:intake@nationallibertyalliance.org)

with that petition we will then move your case into federal court that we’re filing our case in and we will push it forward We will move it forward for a decision If they don’t make the proper decision then we will probably pursue indictment

(26:00)

QUESTIONS

Question 1: I filed a case in federal district court as the plaintiff and the magistrate sent me a notice consent in reference of a civil action to a magistrate judge

In it are a bunch of judges and magistrates to choose from Consent is voluntary

Have you ever been royally treated like I am?

John has never come up to something like that

John doesn’t have an answer for that

(27:52)

Question 2:

My daughter would not plea in traffic court so now the court decided to give her a trial by jury . I’ve been told to write up an affidavit challenging jurisdiction What types of facts should I include? How do I want to word the justification challenge?

Traffic court doesn’t have jurisdiction It’s statutory Not a court of record They have to get your approval to join in They usually do that by pleading It’s statutory They’re going to rule on statutes

Everyone’s going to believe the cop that gave you the ticket

The only way to get out of that is to challenge jurisdiction

We read that tonight on the jurisdiction challenge

Go to nationallibertyalliance.org click on “Due Process” go to the bottom of the page click on the enter button It’s the second section down Instructions and Forms to Challenge Jurisdiction

(31:31)

Question 3

This is from a fellow who is on a work release program He was sentenced to 60 days They’re holding me past that out date I need advice

Challenge jurisdiction You can challenge it any time It doesn’t matter when the case took place

If you discover they didn’t have jurisdiction then everything they did the sentence and everything is null and void

(32:25)

Question 4

This is a carryover from last week

He was talking about moving the case to federal court

He was going to require each defendant to pay restitution

We’re going in under common law

We should be getting real money

That would be gold or silver

IRS should not chase you as silver being the dollar

Funny money doesn’t have any backing

If you ask for $1,000 worth of silver that’s valued around $18/ounce That’s going to be about $18,000 You want to get it in real money

(34:05)

Question 5: The plaintiff demands restitution Would this information not be needed in a Notice of Intent to Sue to the respondent before the case is moved to district court?

I presume he’s talking about federal district court

No you don’t sue until you get to federal district court You’re challenging jurisdiction and if you can get out of challenging the jurisdiction and walk away you do so

You take it up one level to the state court If they don’t move it into a court of record you move it into the state court

If the judge in the state court violates his oath and doesn’t acknowledge the fact that you’re in an equity court a quasi defacto equity court in unknown jurisdiction

A court of record operates by the people

If it’s a criminal thing then you have to be indicted by the people and you have to be tried by the people The people get to decide both the facts and the law

No influence by the judge

The focus should be on restitution first and foremost

Once you moved it into district court now you sue because they violated you on two different levels. They violated your unalienable right of due process They’ve denied you your court of record

(37:19)

Question 6 Could other restitutions be awarded at the same time in this said claim for common law trespass and return of property and children by using the Carl Lentz method ?

I’m not real familiar with the Carl Lentz method

Ultimately it’s fraud on the court

They violated your right of due process

That’s what you go in and sue for

And I would only sue for one issue and that’s the due process issue

(38:26)

Question 7

This is kind of involved It’s the woman who was taking care of her mother

The state moved in Center for Elder Care took over They sold off her home and two rental properties

She tried to stop the sale by filing a Lis Pendency they have her for contempt of court

She is now preparing documents that you mentioned on the call to challenge jurisdiction

Challenge jurisdiction It doesn’t matter when you found out

You can go back and say I want my money back and I want everything else back

Take it through the process

Stay simply on challenging jurisdiction

Stay with that focus It’s a constitutional issue

What president’s name do I need to address?

The one I dealt with in 2013 and 14 retired

Should I address this to the current president of the bank or include both the past and current president?

I’d go after the CEO or president that was the original

I would go towards the ones that violated you

The new ones didn’t

Should I address all the mortgage services and people that we communicate with or just the main people?

You’re suing individuals who are breaking the law

You stay focused on the people who have benefited from that

That would be the judge and lawyer

the owner of the company the president of the board

Which clerk of court to address the one involved in the foreclosure process is no longer there

There are two clerks that you have to deal with One on the state level

The clerk shouldn’t be involved in these kind of cases

(43:49)

Question 8: Wood County West Virginia is demanding proof of payment of property taxes before renewing car registration

I don’t know if you would be able to defeat that easily in the court

It is an issue that we will deal with as time goes on

First the people have to take back control of their courts

Until that happens we’re not going to get any justice

It will be an uphill battle

They’re going to violate your rights You will end up with constitutional issues

You can take it to federal court

(44:58)

Question 9: My case is a judicial foreclosure

Which part should I join I wish to join your case first challenge jurisdiction and then join?

People can do it themselves They should challenge jurisdiction and see if they can move it along themselves and if they can’t then they can move it into our court federal court move it in there join us there

There’s very specific issues about joining cases It has to be something that affects many people

The issue has to be something that affects many people

a statewide or nationwide situation

These cases clearly do

Everywhere they’re taking property away from people unlawfully

The courts are working with them to do this

You can come straight to us and we can file an amicus curia for you concerning jurisdiction

Get that process taken care of and moving along

We can move it into federal court as a joinder

There are so many people in every state with habeas corpus problems nonjudicial foreclosures courts not of record jurisdictions are improper many, many things

signatures aren’t there courts are open without affidavits there’s no affidavits to support anything

They have to show fiduciary authority

They have to show proof of claim

These are two major issues

Those have to be in affidavit form

You rarely see anything like that

(48:16)

Question 10

I have filled out a Notice of Motion and verified show cause To the best of my belief it’s correct It’s a foreclosure case in equity court in New Jersey

My understanding is that I send it to the plaintiff and court and question mark

to stop further action and then I can fill out forms to join your case against the judiciary next or do you need them all at once beforehand

People can pursue these cases on their own if they’re capable of doing that

If you don’t know the procedures and the processes they’re going to throw you out on little things

They’ll frustrate you

They’re going to force you to pay for this on the state level

It becomes a problem if you don’t know what you’re doing

You can talk to intake and we can work something out

First order of business is to challenge jurisdiction

In the NonJudicial Foreclosures we operate a little differently on that

We let them know that they’re being used in a fraudulent situation We explain how the crime is taken place How the mortgage companies are using the courts to leverage the courts to take away people’s property

with unconstitutional nonjudicial foreclosures

The courts are playing ball with them

That’s the other problem

(50:20)

Question 11

Are you familiar with the National Currency Act?

There’s a federal statute that states very clearly that the instrument of a dollar is payable in gold or silver to anybody who takes it to a federal bank and demands it It’s payable on demand

It just says a dollar

I wrote a paper on that

It’s something that I’m looking a little more into

(52:30)

Brent Winters is author of “Excellence of the Common Law”

Brent’s website is commonlawyer.com

(54:00)

This is Brent Allan Winters commonlawyer.com www dot common lawyer dot com

You can join us on Saturday morning we went through 45 weeks on common law evidence

The law of evidence The common law of evidence

Now we’re going through 45 weeks of the common law promises

often called the common law contracts The law of contracts

We’re a dozen weeks into it

You can join us Go to commonlawyer.com You can go to the events button

There’s a link that you can click on

You can get a certificate

I want to make it enjoyable

You can join us on Sunday morning We’re going through the Book of Revelations of the Seer John the Apostle the last book of the Bible

Right now we’re going through the Constitution of the United States

The things I say here are my comments not necessarily the points of view of National Liberty Alliance

We’re going through the Constitution of the United States

Habeas Corpus means we have the body

The body of the prisoner

A writ is a command from a greater to a lesser

A writ of habeas corpus is a command by a court to a jailer

anybody holding somebody under arrest is in jail false imprisonment

If the judge says bring the body habeas corpus bring the body of the prisoner in front of my court and prove to me that you are imprisoning this person lawfully

and if you don’t do that then let him go on the spot

It’s a safeguard against false imprisonment

It’s common laws way of guarding against false imprisonment

False imprisonment is a wrong in common law

The history of false imprisonment goes back to our English speaking world earliest contact with the writings we call the Bible

The most fundamental command of our Maker is that we scatter across the face of the land

It’s all about scattering out

False imprisonment impedes God’s covenant

Read Genesis Chapter 11 about scattering out

It tells about the first incident on record where people tried to keep people from scattering out

It all came down to the law of the city in a place called Babylon

The law of the city under the first true emperor of the world Nimrod established the law of the city with the intent of keeping people from scattering

The writ of habeas corpus commands the jailer to bring the prisoner before the court for two reasons. First so that the petitioner for habeas corpus may testify call witnesses cross examine witnesses and make oral argument about how he shouldn’t be falsely imprisoned

Second that the court find that the court find for the release of the prisoner then he will be set free on the spot

thereby avoiding the jailer’s dallying and outright refusal

Habeas corpus demands the immediate release of the prisoner before the eyes of the judge

Habeas corpus even though it’s a common law remedy it was first devised by Parliament legislation called the Statute of Habeas Corpus

The Statute of Habeas Corpus from England was picked up by all of the states from the Union because we’re a common law country

The Constitution of the United States has ensured that the writ of habeas corpus shall never be suspended

The burden is on the jailer to prove the lawfulness of the jailing

The one jailed need prove nothing or say anything

The one jailed doesn’t even have to testify

The Habeas Corpus Act secures speedy relief from unlawful imprisonment

(1:21:19)

CALLERS

Caller 1: Rhonda from Missouri

I had a case filed in the federal court had an attorney the demand was for a jury trial seeking one million dollars for discrimination of race sex unequal pay against a union and an employer went to appeals and U S Supreme Court denied when I saw that my attorney was trying to send me up the river

I dismissed him and took the case as a pro se

This judge was even disallowing me after several requests to dismiss my attorney

I asked five times for the judge to recuse himself

I had a case I was in the federal court

I was suing a company and a union in federal court

They threw it out and I appealed

That sounds like it’s a state issue and it should have been done in the state court

Federal court will only hear cases for cause

It’s anything under the Constitution

That’s where they have the jurisdiction

You were in the wrong court

Attorneys don’t understand A lot of attorneys don’t understand the Constitution

This lawyer didn’t know the Constitution

didn’t understand that this is not the jurisdiction to sue in

You can take this into the state court and sue there

You can’t sue in the federal court

The problem with your case is that you’re in the wrong court

You can go into the state court of Missouri

File your complaint there

You got to know how to handle this case

It’s not going to be simple for you

You might want to try to find an attorney to handle the case

Some attorneys take cases that they don’t have any expertise in

They should pass you on to someone with more expertise in your area

When I seen that my attorney was sending me up the river I did dismiss him and took the case on as a pro se

This judge was disallowing me after several requests to even dismiss my attorney

I asked five times for the judge to recuse himself

Each time he refused

Even the transcript shows the judge saying It is I that decides what evidence is admitted

The judge dismissed it I had not proved that the case was valued at a million dollars

You’re not going to get anywhere in this court

I don’t know why the judge is playing games with you

You did an affidavit of default

and the clerk signed off on it

It was for one million dollars

The judge denied it stating that I had not proved that my case was valued at one million dollars

Should I put my case in the NLA joinder

We don’t take that kind of case

You’re suing someone for money That’s a civil case

You could still go after all these people

If you want to learn how to do that

then you take our courses Inside our courses gives you understanding of the process of how the court works and the structure of the court

We have the anatomy of a court case which lays out how to file a case

They’re going to play games with you

You need to know what’s going on

It takes knowledge and experience

You have to get the knowledge

The knowledge you can get from our website take the course read the books

There is also the Jurisdictionary Course that you can take

I’ve been with Jurisdictionary since 2008

We would not take on a personal lawsuit case

We can give you thoughts and ideas as you build your case

I had created and presented fliers suggesting that NLA put online for those to make copies and distribute within their community and elsewhere with info about the administrators of the county grand jury position Do you plan to create such a flier?

Do you plan to create a flier for us to distribute within our community about the administrators of the county grand jury position?

Yes We do have some fliers

We’ll try to create fliers when people come up with ideas about

Get ahold of Jan at [jan@nationallibertyalliance.org](mailto:jan@nationallibertyalliance.org)

He could find some fliers that we already have up there

You could take a look at that

If you have some ideas that we may want to put together jot them down and get them to Jan

Let’s say in my county we had four people that signed up and met all the requirements and took all the courses for the county grand jury administrator position Do we need to wait for all the counties in all the states to get their people?

We need only win in the federal court that opens up the entire nation

We’re looking for four people to take on that job in every single county

Four people to become administrators for the grand jury

We are training them

We are writing a handbook for them

We will assist them with information whenever they have questions

(1:46:26)

Caller 2 805 area code We spoke last week Brian California

We spoke last week about garnishment and California Tax Franchise Board

I want to thank you for posting your Notice and Demand

I worked off of Bill Thornton’s work but we enhanced it

On your instructions it says Proof of Service that constructive notice should be served upon the adversary in the same manner that one would serve a summons and a lawsuit

They have a duty to answer and respond

If you serve it properly as if it would be done in a court if you were filing a court case you got to build your case and the first order of business is opening up a file in the court

You’re not looking for a judge right now

You’re in a dispute with another individual

Hopefully you can take care if it in that dispute and you’re creating a record

That’s what papers going back and forth is all about

You can do this outside the court

Gather all your evidence maintain your paperwork

Get a good dialog going

If they acquiesce if they default Let them know

At some point in time you can take all your paperwork all your evidence all your conversation all your paperwork and file it into court with a Show Cause on why a judgement shouldn’t be taken a Show Cause on why a judgment shouldn’t be had against them and get a good Wherefore Clause We offer a lot of information on how to do that

They’ve already acquiesced Now let’s see if they’re going to answer in the court

You should be able to get a righteous rightful summary judgment

As long as you prove the fact that they got your paperwork that’s why you want to serve it as if your serving it in a court of law

You get a friend or somebody who is not part of the case

You put everything in a certified return slip in the mail certified return

Have that person drop it in the mail

certified go to the post office and make sure that it’s done right then you take him to the bank or some place where you can find a notary

Fill out an affidavit of service

This is where it helps to read our paperwork

I got over 400 footnotes up there

You can download the footnotes

When someone has the duty to speak and they remain silent that’s fraud

Use one or more of those Supreme Court rulings to make your case

They have defrauded you by not answering

They had a duty to answer you

They didn’t and then they acquiesced they defaulted

You file a default at some point

You have all that collected

Now you go and open your case and you take it into the court for collection

Show cause why collection shouldn’t take place

I wouldn’t word it that way

Show cause why a judgment shouldn’t take place against XYZ and so on and so forth

The notice that they sent me that they’re going to start garnishing that doesn’t have a name of an agent

Your instructions were to go after the top dog

(1:59:29)

Caller 3: Greg

Would any of you know anything about how to know how to have it verified and confirmed in a concrete way how it can be used as evidence in court that the local authorities have tapped your phone. They tapped mine quite awhile ago.

A few people that I’ve talked to in helping me with my case here in Redford , Michigan they eventually came to agree as well that they think my phone is tapped as well because some strange occurrences have happened after some phone conversations we had about my case

Start documenting those things and if you have enough documented evidence evidence built up to prove it the next step after that is to go down and find out if there was a warrant taken out to tap your phone

It is difficult to prove

If you can prove that it’s tapped and they don’t have a warrant then they got a problem

If you can document things that happened that could not have happened or should not have happened unless someone was listening to your calls that could build up as evidence

The next thing to do is to go down and discover if there is a warrant out

On the federal level any warrant taken out has to be registered with the federal district court

on the state level it’s got to be the same thing within the county

It’s got to be some place

Law Enforcement is the one’s that have to do that

They have to have a sworn affidavit

It’s a local detective that put the tap on my phone

He’s head of the detective department

This was done a few years ago

This is how corrupt this town Redford, Michigan is

Years ago a woman moved across the street from me that opened up a drug dealership out of her house she’s still going eleven or twelve years later she deals heroin I complained numerous times to the local police they were never interested

A neighbor says she brags about being friends with Detective So-And-So

He says he’s not going to let anything happen to her

All of this is evidence that you want to document

The neighbor is not going to co-operate

Everybody is scared

I would have a recorder if I was in this situation

I’d be recording everything as long as you’re part of the conversation then it’s lawful to record

There are two or three states that don’t permit it

You can’t record a conversation if you’re not part of it

They had my phone tapped a few years ago

And now that I got into this case with the ordinance officers coming in after me at the direction of his boss whose big pay raise I complained about that I spoke about at the public township trustees’ meeting They finally decided to go after me using the building department to citation me The detective called the court and the judge and prosecutor I got his phone tapped

You can listen to who he’s discussing his case with

I’m linking how the court people who knew nothing about me complaining about this drug dealer at least not initially how they ended up having access to my phone conversations

They got it from this crooked detective that had it two or three years earlier before they started after me with all of the ordinance violations and dragging me in and out of court about that

They conveniently got the access to my phone from the detective and they’ve been using it to listen to me talking to people about how to deal with my case

This has been going on for a year now

Talk to the sheriff

He’s controversial

You might be able to sit with your sheriff and talk with him

You want to bring him some evidence

I don’t have concrete evidence

The detective got tipped off

You’re playing on dangerous grounds

You’re playing with fire be very careful

Try to build a case and then work it from there

Gather as much evidence as you can

Bring it to the sheriff and to with him about it

You’re playing on dangerous grounds

Deliver the information to the sheriff and see what he does with it

Be careful

You’re in a highly corrupted area

There are people in the township that are afraid and don’t want to get involved

There are people who have been forced to move out of the town

The only way to change these things is to get a just court going

That’s what we’re trying to do

That’s our goal

Work with National Liberty Alliance to make all our courts just again

(2:19:00)

Caller 4: Dan

I want to remind other people very soon you guys will be providing us with paperwork this is to be sent certified according to NLA’s instructions I need to send in to Jan that I’m volunteering

Talk with Jan we hope that a lot of people will get involved and volunteer their time

We’ll tell you who to send it to

We all need to put our intent in writing

I’ll do my best to get the job done

Anybody else that can volunteer for this now is the time to get ahold of Jan

We can’t get it out to all the people that we’d like to get it out to

There’s a situation with my parent’s estate my grandfather’s estate

an island 832 acres my father who had served this nation in several wars

My father paid off complete all the mortgage on this 832 acres

I am considering possibly to do a common law trust

for two towns

Sea Islands North Carolina state

Sea Islands South Carolina state

We will post how to form a common law trust

(2:30:15)

Caller 5: California Fred

Two hours ago President Trump tweeted Next week ICE will begin the process of removing the millions of illegal aliens who have illicitly found their way into the United States. They will be removed as fast as they come in. Mexico using their strong immigration laws is doing a very good job of stopping people

The second part of the tweet is

Long before they get to our Southern Border. Guatemala is getting ready to sign a Safe-Third Agreement. The only ones who won’t do anything are the Democrats in Congress. They must vote to get rid of the loopholes, and fix asylum! If so, Border Crisis will end quickly!

I had to share this with you

They’re finally going to do something

I live in California all these illegal aliens are driving around without insurance

They get in accidents They steal people’s property They break into houses

They sell drugs

Maybe we can have honest voting for a change

There was a lady on a video talking about how the vaccines are tainted She has proof

Finally she’s getting some recognition

It’s been going on for forty years with the vaccines

You need to write another paper

and this paper needs to address Congress

because Congress is derelict in their duties

What Congress has done is that they have written very vague laws

and whenever they write vague law the agencies like the IRS or the FBI or the Department of Energy or Homeland Security they write their own guidance

If Congress doesn’t write it right give it back to Congress

There should be no supervisory action by these agencies They’re supposed to be slaves They’re servants to Congress

That’s why we’re trying to get this joinder thing going

Quite a few people have started applying

This will continue as long as we’re in the process of going after these people

Until we get our courts of record open everywhere where we have common law courts running under natural law until that happens we will continue to collect people and the assaults upon people

Anybody who has got a slam dunk case of anyone that’s in jail and should not be there we’re going to approach the President for pardons

We have a couple of people already that we’re trying to get things together on and anybody that has a case or knows of a case you’ll have to do an affidavit on that case it has to be rock solid these people don’t belong in jail at all Some of the people in Oregon involved in the Bundy case that pleaded out and they’re still in jail and yet everybody was acquitted in that case What are these people doing in jail?

There was no crime everybody was acquitted

Anybody who has some strong cases and can get the people to write an affidavit we will add it in with our paperwork when we send it into the President for pardons

(2:44:26)

Caller 6: 954

We have a big issue here down in Miami Dade

We do not have an elected sheriff

All the other counties in Florida that we know of has sheriffs

It’s been over ten years and they have not

Get a state constitution from Florida and see what it says about the sheriff

They have to have it

We’re trying to get a group together to sue Miami Dade the county because there are issues

I was falsely accused of child abuse

when I brought my baby to the hospital but they come to find out that she had metabolic bone disease which is rickets

I fought it for four years

They railroaded me through the court

As of May this year I got acquitted

There was no proof of anything

It was a metabolic bone disease

When I first started this case in 2015 I sued the judge

I didn’t consent to anything

I sued the judge

the first thing I got was a call from The New York Times they wanted to write a story on it

They railroad us in the child court

I got to learn that Miami Dade doesn’t have a sheriff

There’s been a lot of foreclosures down here

There’s been a lot of DCF removals

It’s the Miami Dade police department that’s been doing it

As of 2025 they’re going to put in a sheriff

There isn’t any sheriff

So we want to get a few people that are fighters down here in Miami Dade

to do a big suit against the county

There’s no sheriff

There hasn’t been for about ten to fifteen years

There are counties without sheriffs in New York

It is an issue we will take up at a later time

Maybe we can do Florida at the same time

A lady got upset with us and said we were practicing law without a license

If you file it correctly open up a court of record you file it correctly make it clear what a court of record is they can’t get you for operating without a license that’s a statutory thing

We do need lawyers that are educated in the equity courts

Just in the federal courts alone we have 56 titles

You have 56 specialties

Half are administrative and half are positive law

That’s where lawyers belong working in those courts

They have to operate a different way in common law courts They have to understand common law and they have to proceed accordingly

They can’t bring statutes into the courts

You can use their statutes against them because they’re governed by those statutes

There was a warrant issued

Even though the Broward County has a sheriff the warrant was not signed by the judge

A clerk’s assistant signed the warrant

We put in our motion to challenge the jurisdiction

We’re waiting

We had it in from April 29

It’s been over 45 days and the supposed prosecutor has not responded

We were thinking of putting in an affidavit of default

Absolutely

If you filed all your papers correctly and everyone was served correctly and they went past thirty days and they did not respond That’s a default You file an affidavit of default and you bring it in for execution

for enforcement

After we file our case we’re going to reveal our brand new strategy on opening up a court of record

People can try to do it the way we’re going to do it